



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,402	01/12/2001	George Cybenko	389522	1647
30955 7	590 05/04/2005		EXAM	INER
LATHROP & GAGE LC 4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE		MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
SUITE 300	CAST CIRCLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER, C	O 80301		2131	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/759,402	CYBENKO, GEORGE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131
	nunication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than this lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximus Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recommunication. rly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty may be statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' reply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB oths after the mailing date of this communication, even if the safter the mailing date of this communication.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>18 January 2005</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
,	ion for allowance except for formal matte	
closed in accordance with the pr	actice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in t	ne application.	•
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by	y the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 Janua</u>	$\underline{ry\ 2001}$ is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square of	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any o	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	ding the correction is required if the drawing(
11) The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None c	-	
1. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received in A	pplication No
3 Copies of the certified cop	ies of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office a	ction for a list of the certified copies not	received.
		•
Attachment(s)		•
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	ew (PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
B) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144	IQ OF DTO/SR/08) 5) Notice of Ir	mormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 18 January 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are pending in the application.
- 3. Claims 1-16 have been rejected.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grover U.S. Patent No. 6,317,766 B1.

As to claims 1 and 15, Grover discloses a method for encrypting programs for encrypted execution on a network having a remote host computer, comprising the steps of:

encoding a program as a unitary matrix with n rows and n columns [column 3, lines 5-14];

encoding an input data string to the program as a vector of length n, wherein execution of the program on the input data string is realized by matrix multiplication of the unitary matrix with the vector [column 3, lines 16-19];

loading the encoded program and the encoded data string on the host computer [column 3, lines 38-53];

executing the encoded program, using the encoded data string, on the host compute [column 3, lines 38-53]r;

communicating results from the host computer to the network [column 3, lines 38-53]; and

decoding the results into output representative of executing the program with the data string, wherein computations and data associated with the program and data string are unintelligible and useless at the host computer [column 4, lines 30-63].

As to claim 2, Grover discloses that the step of encoding a program comprises converting the program to a unitary matrix multiplication [column 8 line 58 to column 9 line 18].

Art Unit: 2131

As to claim 3, Grover discloses that the step of converting the program comprises converting the program to a unitary matrix multiplication U such that U ϵ U_n for some integer n, where U_n represents a group of unitary matrices of size n [column 8 line 58 to column 9 line 18].

As to claim 4, Grover discloses that the step of encoding the program comprises generating two independent identically distributed unitary matrices X, Y from the uniform probability distribution over U_n determined by the Haar distribution [column 8 line 58 to column 9 line 18].

As to claim 5, Grover discloses that the step of encoding a program comprises the steps of computing U' as XUY* and communicating U' to the remote host computer over the network [column 9, lines 14-19].

As to claim 6, Grover discloses that the step of encoding the input data string comprises converting the input data string to a vector b [column 9, lines 14-19].

As to claim 7, Grover discloses that the step of encoding comprises the steps of computing b' as Yb and communicating b' to the remote host over the network [column 11, lines 16-53].

As to claim 8, Grover discloses that the step of executing the encoded program, using the encoded data string, on the: host computer comprises the steps of computing the product of XUY* and Yb and communicating results to the network [column 12 line 51 to column 13 line 22].

As to claim 9, Grover discloses that the step of decoding the results into output comprises computing X*XUb, external of the host computer, to determine the multiplication of Ub as desired output of the programs wherein XUY* and Yb is (XUb)

Art Unit: 2131

and X*XUb is obtained by matrix multiplication X*(XUb) [column 12 line 51 to column 13 line 22].

As to claim 10, Grover discloses the step of decoding comprises decrypting at a control computer connected to the network and the host computer [column 3, lines 38-53].

As to claim 11, Grover suggests that the network comprises the Internet [column 3, lines 38-53].

As to claim 12, Grover suggests that the network comprises a virtual private network [column 3, lines 38-53].

As to claim 13, Grover suggests that the network comprises a local area network (LAN) [column 3, lines 38-53].

As to claim 14, Grover discloses embedding one or more constants into the input data string or program, prior to encoding, to detect incorrect execution or data tampering [column 13, lines 22-47].

As to claim 16, Grover discloses that the control computer embeds one or more constants into the unitary matrix or data string, wherein the results from the host computer indicate tampering or incorrect execution of the encoded program [column 8 line 58 to column 9 line 18].

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-

3793. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aravind K Moorthy April 26, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6